

DRAFT
Defense Supply Center Richmond
Restoration Advisory Board
Meeting Minutes
January 8, 2007

The meeting for the Defense Supply Center Richmond (DSCR) Restoration Advisory Board was held at Bensley Community Building on January 8, 2007. The meeting began at 7:30 p.m.

Attendees

- Voting RAB Members-George Beadles, Lonnie McCoy, Janet Moe, Josh Galloway.
- Ex Officio Nonvoting Members- Jim Cutler, Virginia DEQ, Jack Potosnak, USEPA, Charles Carrell, DSCR
- Advisors- Adrienne Moore, Steven Edlavitch, and Mark Leeper of the DSCR Environmental Office, Kim Turner and Amy Clement, DSCR Public Affairs Office

Opening Remarks

Mark Leeper welcomed all to the year's first RAB meeting introducing Manish Joshi of Earth Tech and Patrick Haas, an Earth Tech sub contractor.

Program Update

Regularly scheduled groundwater sampling is to begin in January 2007. There is Department of Defense (DoD) guidance for monitoring of Perchlorate, an oxidizer associated with rocket fuel and flares. Although not expected to be prevalent at DSCR, testing will reveal if perchlorate is present in the existing groundwater plumes. Steve Edlavitch will discuss path forward for Operable Unit 2.

Issues Log

- Member George Beadles suggested identifying historic information vs. latest information in issues log portion of minutes. Discussion followed to use differing fonts or italics to differentiate material.
- Discussion of OU9 water volume that was pumped and treated, in particular the 85 thousand gallon monthly figure. DSCR reported that differing water figures was due to a glitch in the software used by contractor.
- Member Lonnie McCoy asserted that the figures for water treated appeared to be excessive given the size of the gravity sump. A re-look is needed.
- Co-Chairman Charles Carrell mentioned that surface water make be a contributing factor to the volume of water treated.
- January 13th Installation Tour cancelled for lack of members available to take the tour on that date.

Public Forum

The meeting was open for any comments or questions from the RAB or public.

Technical Presentation: Operable Unit 2 Path Forward

Steve Edlavitch presented slides that illustrated the boundaries of OU2 and stated that it related to former Area 50. OU2 is a 200 X 800 foot area, 10 feet deep, which encompasses approximately 13 acres. He stated that unlike other OUs, OU2 is surface soil although it lies above OU6 which is concerned with ground water. Suspected materials in the soil includes photographic chemicals, lubricants, and PCBs placed there when the area was used as a landfill from the 1950s to the 1970s. Exploratory trenches have also revealed free phase oil and unexploded ordinance. However, 2001 to 2005 field investigation did not show downgradient evidence of free phase oils. Remedial Action Objectives (RAO's) include preventing human exposure to impacted soils and dust, reduce migration of impacts in soil to groundwater and to prevent exposure to unexploded ordinance.

Discussion followed by board members questioning the types of ordinance buried there and what dangers it posed. Small caliber ammunition including weapon launched grenades were mentioned. Current remedial alternatives include, no action, institutional controls, a soil cover and storm sewer rehab, clay cover with storm sewer rehab and source removal of free product and saturated soils. The next steps are to develop the proposed plan, hold a public meeting for the proposed plan and to develop a Record of Decision (ROD).

Public Comment

There was no public comment.

Administrative Items

Discussion of 6 vs. 12 RAB meetings.

Noting that the February meeting would be of the "Public Information" variety, Co-Chairman Janet Moe expressed her disappointment. She understood that official RAB business such as voting on minutes would be conducted at each of the 12 meetings including abbreviated "Public Information" meetings. Janet Moe maintained that the November 2006 vote to have only six "official" RAB meetings instead of 12 did not preclude the board from conducting RAB business during the other six.

Citing DoD regulatory restraints, Adrienne Moore explained that DSCR representatives must be present to conduct official RAB Meetings. Janet Moe restated her belief that a quorum should be the only issue making a meeting official.

Member Josh Galloway indicated that he would was in favor a monthly update on DSCR happenings. Co-Chairman Moe moved to table the issue of having six or twelve RAB meetings per year to the March 12 meeting. Member George Beadles suggested that the bylaws should be updated.

Meeting Adjourned at 9 p.m. Next RAB Meeting, a RAB Meeting, is scheduled for Feb 12.